

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/014,087 01/27/1998		WENDA C. CARLYLE	1416.25US01	4103	
22865	7590 02/07/2003				
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 100	EST PARKWAY		PREBILIC	PREBILIC, PAUL B	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55344-7704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	3738	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		58			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/014,087	CARLYLE ET AL.			
, and the same of	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears n the cover sheet with th	e correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment v	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The clave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shorten b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three retarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of ed statutory period for reply originally se	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	,			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		·			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-11,14,15 and 21-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.			
D.					
10. Other:		Paul B. Prebilic Primary Examiner			



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections of claims 25 to 28.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it was not persuasive in overcoming the double patenting or prior art rejections. The rationale set forth in the final Office action explains why the Examiner feels that the claim language is not patentable.